

# A Semi-automatic Framework for Pattern Mining in Chart Images of Power Grids (sui bian xie de)

First Author<sup>1\*</sup>, Second Author<sup>2</sup>, Third Author<sup>2,3</sup> and Fourth Author<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>First Affiliation

<sup>2</sup>Second Affiliation

<sup>3</sup>Third Affiliation

<sup>4</sup>Fourth Affiliation

{first, second}@example.com, third@other.example.com, fourth@example.com

## Abstract

This short example shows a contrived example on how to format the authors' information for *IJCAI-19 Proceedings* using L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X.

## 1 Introduction

Transient stability analysis (TSA) is one of the most challenging problems in power grid (PG) operation [Moulin *et al.*, 2004]. It requires analysts to take preventive and correct controls on part of the grid based on observations of the operating state, so that serious failures and blackouts can be avoided. In this paper, we refer such observations to TSA patterns, including the change of stability state, the occurrence of different faults, the propagation and the influence of faults, etc.. Considering that humans are more sensitive to visual depictions of data, visualization techniques have been applied to generate efficient chart images from numerical PG simulation data to convey quantitative information [Tufte and Graves-Morris, 2014; Wong *et al.*, 2009]. However, this raises three challenging problems. First, humans can possibly deal with hundreds of charts but can hardly analyze a data set containing thousands charts. Second, sometimes analysts can only get access to chart representations without the underlying data, for example, from report documents and simulation tools. Third, the diversity of TSA patterns makes it difficult to get a labeled dataset. Therefore, a proper solution for TSA pattern mining in unlabeled chart images of PGs is in need.

Chart images are usually perceived in a different way from natural scenes. Existing chart recognition models focus on classifying chart type and decoding visual contents. Classification models like support vector machine [Savva *et al.*, 2011] and convolutional neural networks [Poco and Heer, 2017] are used. Despite the impressing performance they achieved, they have barely been tested on large data sets containing more than 10,000 charts. A more fundamental limitation is that they only model shape features. The state of art method that includes semantic features is visual question answering models. But such models are proved incapable of answering many questions in chart images [Kafle *et al.*, 2018]. There are limited studies aiming to identify semantic patterns in an unsupervised manner, which faces two critical

challenges: (i) How to define unknown patterns and assure it's semantically meaningful for PG analysts? (ii) How to identify patterns when each chart image may contain a combination of multiple patterns?

To tackle the first problem, we introduce interactive visualization techniques to allow PG experts to efficiently define patterns based on perception-level visual depictions of the original charts so that domain knowledge can be involved. As for the second one, our patterns are defined on low-dimensional representations rather than the chart itself. Visualization allows the adjustment of representations so that each defined representation corresponds to a pattern. In this way, the representation of a chart can be similar to multiple pattern representations.

In general, we propose a novel semi-automatic framework for TSA pattern mining in unlabeled chart images of smoothly-transitioned time-domain simulation data of PGs. We test our framework on one particular kind of chart, pixel map, which is one of the most commonly used type for TSA pattern analysis. As shown in Figure(Framework picture), we first train a hierarchical variational auto-encoder (VAE) to learn the representation of pixel maps, composed of a hierarchical organization of explanatory factors. The representations are then passed to a visualization system in which they are visually depicted and adjusted. Finally, defined TSA patterns can be identified in the entire data set by computing the similarity to the defined representation. For newly acquired data, TSA patterns can also be identified once its representation is got from the trained hierarchical VAE model.

This paper makes three major contributions:

- We propose a semi-automatic TSA pattern mining framework for simulated PG pixel maps, so that much labor can be released from tedious and time-consuming visual analysis works which is so far performed complete manually.
- We introduce visualization techniques to enhance representation learning and factor analysis, so that more interpretive and more semantically meaningful TSA patterns can be identified.
- We collect pixel maps of real-world PG simulation data to evaluate our method. (add: performance)

---

\*Contact Author

## 2 Related Work

### Chart Image Recognition

**Variational Autoencoder Based Representation Learning.** We adopt variational Autoencoder (VAE) to directly and parametrically learn the representation [Kingma and Welling, 2013; Tschannen *et al.*, 2018], which turns out to be the posterior distribution  $p_\theta(z|x)$  on the latent space given an observed input  $x$ . Models such as  $\beta$ -VAE [Higgins *et al.*, 2016], FactorVAE [Kim and Mnih, 2018] and InfoVAE [Zhao *et al.*, 2017] disentangle  $x$  so that different variables  $z_i$  in  $p_\theta(z|x)$  capture independent factors that are assumed to generate  $x$ . This assumption makes it possible to define semantic patterns (a combination of factors) by adjusting  $z$  in  $p_\theta(z|x)$ . However, analysts may still get confused with which  $z_i$  to be adjusted since the size and sort of  $z$  hardly reach trial level. Hierarchical VAE solves this problem by constructing a hierarchy of  $z$  so that  $z_i$  in  $z$  can be studied in a grouped manner [Gulrajani *et al.*, 2016; Sønderby *et al.*, 2016; van den Oord *et al.*, 2017]. (Add introductions to hierarchical vae if necessary.)

### References

- [Gulrajani *et al.*, 2016] Ishaan Gulrajani, Kundan Kumar, Faruk Ahmed, Adrien Ali Taiga, Francesco Visin, David Vazquez, and Aaron Courville. Pixelvae: A latent variable model for natural images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.05013*, 2016.
- [Higgins *et al.*, 2016] Irina Higgins, Loic Matthey, Arka Pal, Christopher Burgess, Xavier Glorot, Matthew Botvinick, Shakir Mohamed, and Alexander Lerchner. beta-vae: Learning basic visual concepts with a constrained variational framework. 2016.
- [Kafle *et al.*, 2018] Kushal Kafle, Scott Cohen, Brian Price, and Christopher Kanan. Dvqa: Understanding data visualizations via question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5648–5656, 2018.
- [Kim and Mnih, 2018] Hyunjik Kim and Andriy Mnih. Disentangling by factorising. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.05983*, 2018.
- [Kingma and Welling, 2013] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013.
- [Moulin *et al.*, 2004] LS Moulin, AP Alves Da Silva, MA El-Sharkawi, and Robert J Marks. Support vector machines for transient stability analysis of large-scale power systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 19(2):818–825, 2004.
- [Poco and Heer, 2017] Jorge Poco and Jeffrey Heer. Reverse-engineering visualizations: Recovering visual encodings from chart images. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, volume 36, pages 353–363. Wiley Online Library, 2017.
- [Savva *et al.*, 2011] Manolis Savva, Nicholas Kong, Arti Chhajta, Li Fei-Fei, Maneesh Agrawala, and Jeffrey Heer. Revision: Automated classification, analysis and redesign of chart images. In *Proceedings of the 24th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*, pages 393–402. ACM, 2011.
- [Sønderby *et al.*, 2016] Casper Kaae Sønderby, Tapani Raiko, Lars Maaløe, Søren Kaae Sønderby, and Ole Winther. Ladder variational autoencoders. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 3738–3746, 2016.
- [Tschannen *et al.*, 2018] Michael Tschannen, Olivier Bachem, and Mario Lucic. Recent advances in autoencoder-based representation learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.05069*, 2018.
- [Tufte and Graves-Morris, 2014] Edward Tufte and P Graves-Morris. The visual display of quantitative information.; 1983, 2014.
- [van den Oord *et al.*, 2017] Aaron van den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 6306–6315, 2017.
- [Wong *et al.*, 2009] Pak Chung Wong, Kevin Schneider, Patrick Mackey, Harlan Foote, George Chin Jr, Ross Gutromson, and Jim Thomas. A novel visualization technique for electric power grid analytics. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 15(3):410–423, 2009.
- [Zhao *et al.*, 2017] Shengjia Zhao, Jiaming Song, and Stefano Ermon. Infovae: Information maximizing variational autoencoders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.02262*, 2017.